

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,562	(	02/06/2004	Kenji Inaba	02008/146001 3555		
22511	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
OSHA LIA 1221 MCKI			CHUNG, PHUNG M			
SUITE 2800		KEE I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 770	TX 77010 2138				
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/773,562	INABA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phung My Chung	2138					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ne 2006	•	•				
	action is non-final.	•					
		secution as to the	merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	r purio quajro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	<b>n.</b>						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.	_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - -					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ED 1 121(d)				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, .		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	÷				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ Noné of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		:				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	шен принеазон	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 2138

. . . .

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 and 5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 6 of copending Application No. 11/048,632. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitation of the rejected claim is appeared to contain similar limitations as recited in claims 1 and 6 of the copending application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,562 Page 3

Art Unit: 2138

. . . 5

11/048,632, and there is no reason why the rejected claim could not have been presented in the copending application 11/048,632.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 3. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,562 Page 4

Art Unit: 2138

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phung My Chung rimary Ratent Examiner